

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  01D0672600	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  12/05/2019
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Oncology Specialties, Pc	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  1751 Veterans Dr, Suite190 B, Florence, AL	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D2016</b>	<p><b>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION</b> CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: Based on a review of the CMS CASPER Reports (#153/#155) and a review of MLE (Medical Laboratory Evaluation) proficiency testing records, the surveyor determined the laboratory scored sixty percent (60 %) for Chloride for Chemistry Events #2 and #3 of 2019, two consecutive testing events. These two consecutive failures resulted in the laboratory's unsuccessful participation in proficiency testing. The finding include: 1. A review of the CMS CASPER Reports revealed the laboratory was not successful in proficiency testing participation for Chloride testing for Events #2 and #3 of 2019, two consecutive testing events. The laboratory scored 60 % for Chloride for the two consecutive events, resulting in an initial unsuccessful participation for the laboratory.</p>

2. The surveyor's review of the MLE proficiency testing records confirmed the sixty percent scores for Chloride as mentioned in paragraph 1.

**D2096**

**ROUTINE CHEMISTRY**

CFR(s): 493.841(f)

Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte or test in two consecutive testing events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a review of the CMS CASPER Reports (#153/#155) and a review of MLE (Medical Laboratory Evaluation) proficiency testing records, the surveyor determined the laboratory failed to perform satisfactorily in proficiency testing of Chloride for Chemistry Events #2 and #3 of 2019, two consecutive testing events. These two consecutive failures resulted in the laboratory's unsuccessful participation in proficiency testing. The findings include: Refer to D2016.