

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  01D2151731	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  02/01/2022
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Urgent Care For Children-Trussville	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  117 N Chalkville Road, Trussville, AL	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D2016</b>	<p>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: Based on the CMS CASPER reports (#153 and #155) and a review of the American Proficiency Institute (API) proficiency testing reports, the surveyor determined the laboratory failed to successfully participate in Hematology testing for two of three consecutive events (Events #2 and #3 of 2021). The failures resulted in an initial unsuccessful participation for the laboratory. The findings include: 1. A review of the CASPER reports for proficiency testing revealed the laboratory scored twenty percent (20%) for Event #2 2021 and four percent (4%) for Event #3 2021 for White Blood Cell Differential (Hematology). 2. A review of the API proficiency testing revealed the laboratory scored twenty percent (20%) for White Blood Cell Differential</p>

[Basophils, Eosinophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes, and Neutrophils all scored twenty percent (20%)] for 2021 Event #2 and four percent (4%) for White Blood Cell Differential [Basophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes and Neutrophils all scored zero percent (0%) and Eosinophils scored twenty percent (20%)] for 2021 Event #3. The Eosinophils for 2021 Event #3 scored twenty percent (20%) due to one of the five samples not being graded due to no consensus (the result the laboratory provided did not fall within the expected results).

**D2130**

**HEMATOLOGY**

CFR(s): 493.851(f)

Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on the CMS CASPER reports (#153 and #155) and a review of the American Proficiency Institute (API) proficiency testing reports, the surveyor determined the laboratory failed to attain a score of at least eighty percent (80%) White Blood Cell Differential (Hematology) in 2021, Events #2 and # 3. This failure resulted in an initial unsuccessful participation for the laboratory. The findings include: 1. A review of the CASPER reports for proficiency testing revealed the laboratory scored twenty percent (20%) for Event #2 2021 and four percent (4%) for Event #3 2021 for White Blood Cell Differential (Hematology). 2. A review of the API proficiency testing revealed the laboratory scored twenty percent (20%) for White Blood Cell Differential [Basophils, Eosinophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes, and Neutrophils all scored twenty percent (20%)] for 2021 Event #2 and four percent (4%) for White Blood Cell Differential [Basophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes and Neutrophils all scored zero percent (0%) and Eosinophils scored twenty percent (20%)] for 2021 Event #3. The Eosinophils for 2021 Event #3 scored twenty percent (20%) due to one of the five samples not being graded due to no consensus (the result the laboratory provided did not fall within the expected results).