

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  04D2033989	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  11/10/2020
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Pathology Services For Jefferson Regional Mc	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  1600 West 40th Avenue, Pine Bluff, AR	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5623</b>	<p>CYTOLOGY CFR(s): 493.1274(c)(2)</p> <p>(c) Control procedures. The laboratory must establish and follow written policies and procedures for a program designed to detect errors in the performance of cytologic examinations and the reporting of results. The program must include the following: (c) (2) Laboratory comparison of clinical information, when available, with cytology reports and comparison of all gynecologic cytology reports with a diagnosis of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL), adenocarcinoma, or other malignant neoplasms with the histopathology report, if available in the laboratory (either on-site or in storage), and determination of the causes of any discrepancies.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: THIS IS A NEW STANDARD-LEVEL DEFICIENCY BASED ON THE REVISIT SURVEY COMPLETED ON NOVEMBER 10, 2020. Based on review of laboratory policies and procedures, laboratory records and interviews it was determined that the laboratory failed to follow written policies and procedures to determine the cause of discrepancies between the cytology diagnosis and the histopathology diagnosis for four of four cases from January 1, 2020 to the date of the survey. Findings include: 1. The laboratory failed to follow the procedure titled CYTOLOGY-HISTOLOGY CORRELATION which stated "Discrepancies are defined as "major" for being two degrees of difference...For major discrepancies, the cases are reviewed in search of an explanation...A record of GYN cytology-histology correlation is kept in file." 2. The Survey Team requested and the laboratory failed to provide documentation of case reviews for four of four cytology cases from January 1, 2020 to the date of the survey. Cytology cases include: -G-20-00595 -G-20-00999 -G-20-01004 -G-20-01199 3. During interviews on November 9, 2020 at 1:41 PM and November 10, 2020 at 8:45 AM, these findings were confirmed by the Laboratory Director/Technical Supervisor A and Technical Supervisor B.</p>

**CYTOTECHNOLOGIST RESPONSIBILITIES**

CFR(s): 493.1485(b)

The cytotechnologist is responsible for documenting, for each 24-hour period, the total number of slides examined or reviewed in the laboratory as well as the total number of slides examined or reviewed in any other laboratory or for any other employer.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

**THIS IS A NEW STANDARD-LEVEL DEFICIENCY BASED ON THE REVISIT SURVEY COMPLETED ON NOVEMBER 10, 2020.** Based on review of laboratory records it was determined that one of one Cytotechnologist failed to document the total number of slides examined for 10 of 75 days spent examining slides in 2020. Findings include: 1. The Survey Team reviewed "Cytotechnologist Daily Workload" logs for 2020. One of one Cytotechnologist failed to document 84 slides examined for 10 of 75 days spent examining slides in 2020. Dates include: -May 20 Cases include: -G-20-00793, one slide -G-20-00794, one slide -G-20-00795, one slide -G-20-00796, one slide -G-20-00797, one slide -G-20-00798, one slide -May 22 Cases include: -G-20-00809, one slide -G-20-00810, one slide -G-20-00811, one slide -G-20-00812, one slide -G-20-00813, one slide -G-20-00814, one slide -G-20-00815, one slide -G-20-00816, one slide -G-20-00817, one slide -G-20-00818, one slide -G-20-00819, one slide -G-20-00820, one slide -June 4 Cases include: -G-20-00913, one slide -G-20-00914, one slide -G-20-00915, one slide -G-20-00916, one slide -G-20-00917, one slide -G-20-00918, one slide -G-20-00919, one slide -G-20-00920, one slide -G-20-00921, one slide -G-20-00922, one slide -G-20-00923, one slide -G-20-00924, one slide -July 16 Cases include: -G-20-01241, one slide -G-20-01242, one slide -G-20-01243, one slide -G-20-01244, one slide -G-20-01245, one slide -G-20-01246, one slide -G-20-01247, one slide -G-20-01248, one slide -G-20-01249, one slide -G-20-01250, one slide -G-20-01251, one slide -G-20-01252, one slide -G-20-01253, one slide -G-20-01254, one slide -G-20-01255, one slide -G-20-01256, one slide -G-20-01257, one slide -G-20-01258, one slide -August 24 Cases include: -G-20-01549, one slide -August 25 Cases include: -G-20-01550, one slide -G-20-01551, one slide -G-20-01552, one slide -G-20-01553, one slide -G-20-01554, one slide -G-20-01555, one slide -G-20-01556, one slide -G-20-01557, one slide -G-20-01558, one slide -G-20-01559, one slide -G-20-01560, one slide -G-20-01561, one slide -G-20-01562, one slide -G-20-01563, one slide -G-20-01564, one slide -G-20-01565, one slide -G-20-01566, one slide -G-20-01567, one slide -G-20-01568, one slide -G-20-01569, one slide -G-20-01570, one slide -August 28 Cases include: -G-20-01596, one slide -G-20-01597, one slide -August 29 Cases include: -G-20-01599, one slide -G-20-01600, one slide -August 31 Cases include: -G-20-01601, one slide -G-20-01602, one slide -G-20-01603, one slide -G-20-01604, one slide -G-20-01605, one slide -G-20-01606, one slide -September 9 Cases include: -G-20-01667, one slide -G-20-01668, one slide -G-20-01669, one slide -G-20-01670, one slide