Statement of Deficiencies	**(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number**	**(X3) Date Survey Completed**
	10D0288532	11/14/2018
Name of Provider or Supplier	**Street Address, City, State**	
Joshua & Joshua Md Pa	3918 Via Poinciana Ste 1, Lake Worth, FL	

For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	**Summary Statement of Deficiencies**
D2015	TESTING OF PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES CFR(s): 493.801(b)(5)(6) (5) The laboratory must document the handling, preparation, processing, examination, and each step in the testing and reporting of results for all proficiency testing samples. The laboratory must maintain a copy of all records, including a copy of the proficiency testing program report forms used by the laboratory to record proficiency testing results including the attestation statement provided by the PT program, signed by the analyst and the laboratory director, documenting that proficiency testing samples were tested in the same manner as patient specimens, for a minimum of two years from the date of the proficiency testing event. (6) PT is required for only the test system, assay, or examination used as the primary method for patient testing during the PT event. This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and interview with laboratory personnel, the laboratory retain all proficiency testing documentation and did not have all of the required signatures on some of the forms. Findings include: Review of American Proficiency Institute (API) records for the last two years on 11/14/18 revealed the following. 1. For the third testing event of 2016, the testing person did not sign the attestation, and the performance evaluation and corrective action form had not been signed by the laboratory director. The data entry sheets with the laboratory's results were not there. 2. For the first testing event of 2017 and the first and second testing events of 2018, the performance evaluaiton and corrective action sheet was signed by the testing person. There was nothing in writing to indicate that the testing person was the laboratory director's designee, and the testing person did not qualify as technical consultant. 3. For the the third testing event of 2017, there was no performance evaluation and corrective action form. During an interview with testing person A. at 10:15 a.m. on 11/14/18, she confirmed these findings.