

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 10D0988454	(X3) Date Survey Completed 03/04/2020
Name of Provider or Supplier Dermatology Associates Of The Palm Beaches Plc	Street Address, City, State 120 Jfk Cir Ste 128, Atlantis, FL	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D0000	An announced recertification survey was conducted on 3/4/20 at Dermatology Associates of the Palm Beaches PLLC, a clinical laboratory in Atlantis, Florida. Dermatology Associates of the Palm Beaches PLLC is not in compliance with 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 493, requirements for clinical laboratories.
D5217	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(c)(1)</p> <p>At least twice annually, the laboratory must verify the accuracy of any test or procedure it performs that is not included in subpart I of this part.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and staff interview with laboratory personnel, the laboratory did not do verification of potassium hydroxide (KOH) preps twice annually. The findings included: Review of proficiency testing records on 3/4/20 revealed that per review verifications of KOH preps were performed on 1/2017 and 3/2020. During an interview with testing person B at 11:15 a.m. on 3/4/20, she confirmed that those were the only verifications done for KOH prepes.</p>
D6054	<p>TECHNICAL CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES CFR(s): 493.1413(b)(9)</p> <p>The technical consultant is responsible for evaluating and documenting the performance of individuals responsible for moderate complexity testing at least annually, after the first year.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and staff interview with laboratory personnel, the technical consultant did not document performance evaluations for three of three testing</p>

personnel that performed moderate complexity testing. The findings included: Review of personnel records on 3/4/20 revealed that there were no competency evaluations of testing personnel for the past two years. During an interview with testing person B at 11:15 a.m. on 3/4/20, she confirmed that she was not aware of documented competency evaluations of teting personnel.