

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  13D0521272	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  11/05/2018
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Valor Health	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  1202 E Locust St, Emmett, ID	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D2009</b>	<p><b>TESTING OF PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES</b> CFR(s): 493.801(b)(1)</p> <p>The individual testing or examining the samples and the laboratory director must attest to the routine integration of the samples into the patient workload using the laboratory's routine methods.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a proficiency testing (PT) record review and an interview with the laboratory manager, the laboratory director failed to sign the attestation statements from the College of American Pathologists (CAP) for the specialties of Hematology, Chemistry, Immunology, Immunohematology, and Microbiology since January 18, 2017. Findings: 1. A CAP PT record review from 2017 and 2018, revealed the laboratory director failed to sign the attestation statements for the specialties of Hematology, Chemistry, Immunology, and Microbiology since the last survey. 2. An interview on November 5, 2018, at 1:50 PM, with the laboratory manager confirmed the laboratory director failed to sign the attestation statements from CAP and failed to delegate the responsibility of signing the attestation forms to the technical supervisor who is also the laboratory manager. .</p>
<b>D5439</b>	<p><b>CALIBRATION AND CALIBRATION VERIFICATION</b> CFR(s): 493.1255(b)</p> <p>Unless otherwise specified in this subpart, for each applicable test system the laboratory must do the following: Perform and document calibration verification procedure - (b)(1) Following the manufacturer's calibration verification instructions; (b)(2) Using the criteria verified or established by the laboratory under 493.1253(b)(3) -- (b)(2)(i) Including the number, type, and concentration of the materials, as well as acceptable limits for calibration verification; and (b)(2)(ii) Including at least a minimal (or zero) value, a mid-point value, and a maximum value near the upper limit</p>

of the range to verify the laboratory's reportable range of test results for the test system; and (b)(3) At least once every 6 months and whenever any of the following occur: (b)(3)(i) A complete change of reagents for a procedure is introduced, unless the laboratory can demonstrate that changing reagent lot numbers does not affect the range used to report patient test results, and control values are not adversely affected by reagent lot number changes. (b)(3)(ii) There is major preventive maintenance or replacement of critical parts that may influence test performance. (b)(3)(iii) Control materials reflect an unusual trend or shift, or are outside of the laboratory's acceptable limits, and other means of assessing and correcting unacceptable control values fail to identify and correct the problem. (b)(3)(iv) The laboratory's established schedule for verifying the reportable range for patient test results requires more frequent calibration verification.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a record review and an interview with the laboratory manager, the laboratory failed to perform and document calibration verification procedures at least once every 6 months or as required for D-dimers performed on the Stago Satellite coagulation analyzer since February 2017. Findings: 1. A record review of calibration verification reports for D-dimer performed on the Stago Satellite analyzer revealed the laboratory failed to perform and document calibration verifications at least once every 6 months since February 2017. 2. An interview on November 5, 2018, at 1:30 PM, with the laboratory manager, confirmed the laboratory failed to perform and document calibration verifications.

**D5477**

**CONTROL PROCEDURES**

CFR(s): 493.1256(e)(4)(g)

(e) For reagent, media, and supply checks, the laboratory must do the following: (e)(4) Before, or concurrent with the initial use-- (e)(4)(i) Check each batch of media for sterility if sterility is required for testing; (e)(4)(ii) Check each batch of media for its ability to support growth and, as appropriate, select or inhibit specific organisms or produce a biochemical response; and (e)(4)(iii) Document the physical characteristics of the media when compromised and report any deterioration in the media to the manufacturer. (g) The laboratory must document all control procedures performed.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a record review of quality control documents in bacteriology and an interview with the laboratory manager, the laboratory failed to check each lot or shipment of Chocolate agar, used in culture workups, for sterility, ability to support growth or inhibit specific organisms, or produce a biochemical response before use since the last survey on January 18, 2017. Findings: 1. A review of quality control records for the Chocolate agar revealed the laboratory failed to document each shipment or lot of media for sterility, growth and inhibition of specific organisms, and biochemical response either before or concurrent with initial use of media. 2. An interview on November 5, 2018, at 1:05 PM, with the laboratory manager, confirmed the laboratory failed to document each lot of agar before or concurrent with initial use of media and failed to write an Individualized Quality Control Plan for the media.

**D5809**

**TEST REPORT**

CFR(s): 493.1291(e)

The laboratory must, upon request, make available to clients a list of test methods employed by the laboratory and, as applicable, the performance specifications established or verified as specified in 493.1253. In addition, information that may affect the interpretation of test results, for example test interferences, must be provided upon request. Pertinent updates on testing information must be provided to clients whenever changes occur that affect the test results or interpretation of test results.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a record review of final patient reports and an interview with the laboratory manager, the laboratory failed to specify the method of test performance used for the testing of Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) on patient test reports since the last survey on January 18, 2017. Findings: 1. A review of patient test reports containing PSA results revealed the testing methodology was not indicated on the patient reports. 2. An interview on November 5, 2018, at 1:15 PM, with the laboratory manager, confirmed the laboratory failed to state the PSA testing methodology on the final reports.

**D6171**

**TESTING PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS**

CFR(s): 493.1489(b)

(b) Meet one of the following requirements: (b)(1) Be a doctor of medicine, doctor of osteopathy, or doctor of podiatric medicine licensed to practice medicine, osteopathy, or podiatry in the State in which the laboratory is located or have earned a doctoral, master's or bachelor's degree in a chemical, physical, biological or clinical laboratory science, or medical technology from an accredited institution; (b)(2)(i) Have earned an associate degree in a laboratory science, or medical laboratory technology from an accredited institution or-- (b)(2)(ii) Have education and training equivalent to that specified in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section that includes-- (b)(2)(ii)(A) At least 60 semester hours, or equivalent, from an accredited institution that, at a minimum, include either-- (b)(2)(ii)(A)(1) 24 semester hours of medical laboratory technology courses; or (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2) 24 semester hours of science courses that include-- (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2)(i) Six semester hours of chemistry; (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2)(ii) Six semester hours of biology; and (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2)(iii) Twelve semester hours of chemistry, biology, or medical laboratory technology in any combination; and (b)(2)(ii)(B) Have laboratory training that includes either of the following: (b)(2)(ii)(B)(1) Completion of a clinical laboratory training program approved or accredited by the ABHES, the CAHEA, or other organization approved by HHS. (This training may be included in the 60 semester hours listed in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A) of this section.) (b)(2)(ii)(B)(2) At least 3 months documented laboratory training in each specialty in which the individual performs high complexity testing. (b)(3) Have previously qualified or could have qualified as a technologist under 493.1491 on or before February 28, 1992; (b)(4) On or before April 24, 1995 be a high school graduate or equivalent and have either-- (b)(4)(i) Graduated from a medical laboratory or clinical laboratory training program approved or accredited by ABHES, CAHEA, or other organization approved by HHS; or (b)(4)(ii) Successfully completed an official U.S. military medical laboratory procedures training course of at least 50 weeks duration and have held the military enlisted occupational specialty of Medical Laboratory Specialist (Laboratory Technician); (b)(5)(i) Until September 1, 1997-- (b)(5)(i)(A) Have earned a high school diploma or equivalent; and (b)(5)(i)(B) Have documentation of training appropriate for the testing performed before analyzing patient specimens. Such training must ensure that the individual has-- (b)(5)(i)(B)(1) The skills required for

proper specimen collection, including patient preparation, if applicable, labeling, handling, preservation or fixation, processing or preparation, transportation and storage of specimens; (b)(5)(i)(B)(2) The skills required for implementing all standard laboratory procedures; (b)(5)(i)(B)(3) The skills required for performing each test method and for proper instrument use; (b)(5)(i)(B)(4) The skills required for performing preventive maintenance, troubleshooting, and calibration procedures related to each test performed; (b)(5)(i)(B)(5) A working knowledge of reagent stability and storage; (b)(5)(i)(B)(6) The skills required to implement the quality control policies and procedures of the laboratory; (b)(5)(i)(B)(7) An awareness of the factors that influence test results; and (b)(5)(i)(B)(8) The skills required to assess and verify the validity of patient test results through the evaluation of quality control values before reporting patient test results; and (b)(5)(i)(B)(8)(ii) As of September 1, 1997, be qualified under 493.1489(b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(4), except for those individuals qualified under paragraph (b)(5)(i) of this section who were performing high complexity testing on or before April 24, 1995; (b)(6) For blood gas analysis-- (b)(6)(i) Be qualified under 493.1489(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), or (b)(5); (b)(6)(ii) Have earned a bachelor's degree in respiratory therapy or cardiovascular technology from an accredited institution; or (b)(6)(iii) Have earned an associate degree related to pulmonary function from an accredited institution; or (b)(7) For histopathology, meet the qualifications of 493.1449 (b) or (l) to perform tissue examinations.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a personnel records review and an interview with the laboratory manager, the laboratory failed to verify the education equivalency for one high-complexity testing person in the laboratory with a foreign degree since the last survey on January 18, 2017. Findings: 1. A review of education diplomas revealed 1 out of 6 testing personnel failed to have their foreign degree evaluated for equivalency since the last survey. 2. An interview on November 5, 2018, at 1:45 PM, with the laboratory manager, confirmed the laboratory failed to evaluate the 1 testing personnel's degree for equivalency.