

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 17D0448222	(X3) Date Survey Completed 10/11/2019
Name of Provider or Supplier Lawrence-Douglas County Health Dept	Street Address, City, State 200 Maine St, Lawrence, KS	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D2010	<p>TESTING OF PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES CFR(s): 493.801(b)(2)</p> <p>The laboratory must test samples the same number of times that it routinely tests patient samples.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of laboratory's Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene (WSLH) proficiency testing (PT) documentation and interview with the Technical Consultant (TC)#1, the laboratory failed to test the 2018-2019 testing event microbiology samples the same number of times that it routinely tests patient samples. Findings Include: 1. Review of the laboratory's PT WSLH documentation for the 2nd testing event of 2018 and the 1st and 2nd testing events of 2019 in the specialty of microbiology found that each proficiency testing samples for gram stains were read by two different personnel. 2. The TC#1 confirmed that it is not routine laboratory practice for a patient's sample to be reevaluated after the first evaluation by a different TP. The TC#1 stated they were unable to provide any laboratory policies or procedures that stated patient samples would be handled in a manner similar to the testing process described above. The interview occurred 10/10/2019 @10 A.M.</p>
D5221	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(d)</p> <p>All proficiency testing evaluation and verification activities must be documented.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of the laboratory's Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene (WSLH) proficiency testing (PT) documentation and interview with technical consultant #1, the laboratory failed to document all proficiency testing evaluation and verification</p>

activities. Findings Include: 1. Review of the laboratory's WSLH PT documentation found the laboratory's received a score of "Unacceptable" on the follow PT samples: WSLH PT 2018 BactiReg2 Gram Stain Morphology GS-08 No documentation of corrective actions for the "Unacceptable" sample were present. 2. Review of the laboratory's WSLH PT documentation found the laboratory's received a "not scored" on the follow PT samples: WSLH PT 2018 BactiReg2 Gram Stain Morphology GS-07 No documentation of evaluation or corrective action for an ungraded sample. On the date of survey, TC #1 was unable to locate corrective action documentation for the "Unacceptable" samples or ungraded samples. 3. TC #1 confirmed no documentation of corrective actions were present or were able to be located for the "Unacceptable" samples or ungraded samples noted above. The interview occurred 10/11/2019 at 10:00 AM.