

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 17D0453239	(X3) Date Survey Completed 06/03/2020
Name of Provider or Supplier Meade District Hospital	Street Address, City, State 510 E Carthage Street, Meade, KS	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D2016	<p>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: A proficiency testing (PT)record review on June 2, 2020 revealed the laboratory failed to successfully participate in PT from American Proficiency Institute (API) for Compatability Testing. Findings: 1. Review of Second Event 2019 revealed a score of 80% for Compatability Testing. 2. Review of First Event 2020 revealed a score of 0% for Compatability Testing. The laboratory failed to achieve an acceptable score of 100% or higher for two of three consecutive events which resulted in unsatisfactory performance for Compatability Testing. (refer to D2181)</p>
D2181	COMPATIBILITY TESTING

CFR(s): 493.863(e)

Failure to achieve an overall testing event score of satisfactory for two consecutive testing events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

A PT desk review and phone interview on June 2, 2020 revealed the laboratory failed to successfully participate in PT from API for Compatability Testing. Findings: 1. Review of Second Event 2019 revealed a score of 80% for Compatability Testing. 2. Review of First Event 2020 revealed a score of 0% for Compatability Testing. 3. The laboratory manager on June 2, 2020 at 3:20 pm in phone interview confirmed the PT results were not submitted to API within the specified time frame for the First Event 2020 for Compatability Testing and resulted in a score of 0 for the testing event. The laboratory failed to achieve an acceptable score of 100% or higher for two of three consecutive events which resulted in unsatisfactory performance for Compatability Testing.