

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  18D0320437	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  01/09/2026
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Vmd Primary Providers Central Ky	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  325 West Walnut Street Suite 600, Lebanon, KY	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D0000</b>	The following deficiencies are a result of a desk review of proficiency testing scores obtained from the national database and verified with the proficiency testing company. The facility was found to be out of compliance with the conditions of the CLIA program. The following <b>CONDITION LEVEL DEFICIENCIES</b> were found to be out of compliance: D2016 - 42 C.F.R. 493.803 Condition: Successful participation [proficiency testing] D6000 - 42 C.F.R. 493.1403 Condition: Laboratories performing moderate complexity testing; laboratory director
<b>D2016</b>	<p><b>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION</b> CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This <b>CONDITION</b> is not met as evidenced by: Based on a Proficiency Testing (PT) Desk Review of the Certification and Survey</p>

	<p>Provider Enhanced Reporting (CASPER)-0155 and American Proficiency Institute 2025 PT records (2nd and 3rd events), the laboratory failed to successfully participate in a PT program for two consecutive PT testing events for White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential. (Refer to D2130).</p>
<p><b>D2130</b></p>	<p><b>HEMATOLOGY</b> CFR(s): 493.851(f)</p> <p>(f) Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a Proficiency Testing (PT) Desk Review of the Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Reporting (CASPER)-0155 and American Proficiency Institute (API) 2025 PT records (2nd and 3rd events), the laboratory failed to achieve satisfactory performance (80% or greater) for two consecutive testing events for White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential. 1. Review of the CASPER-0155 report revealed the following: Hematology 2025- 2nd Event The Laboratory received an unsatisfactory score of 0% for WBC Differential. Hematology 2025- 3rd Event The Laboratory received an unsatisfactory score of 28% for WBC Differential. 2. A PT desk review from API 2025 PT records confirmed the above findings.</p>
<p><b>D6000</b></p>	<p><b>MODERATE COMPLEXITY LABORATORY DIRECTOR</b> CFR(s): 493.1403</p> <p>The laboratory must have a director who meets the qualification requirements of 493.1405 of this subpart and provides overall management and direction in accordance with 493.1407 of this subpart.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: Based on a Proficiency Testing (PT) Desk Review of the Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Reporting (CASPER)-0155 and American Proficiency Institute 2025 PT records (2nd and 3rd events), the laboratory director failed to provide overall management and direction of the laboratory services to ensure successful PT participation for two consecutive testing events for White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential. (Refer to D6016).</p>
<p><b>D6016</b></p>	<p><b>LABORATORY DIRECTOR RESPONSIBILITIES</b> CFR(s): 493.1407(e)(4)(i)</p> <p>(e)(4)(i) The proficiency testing samples are tested as required under Subpart H of this part;</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a Proficiency Testing (PT) Desk Review of the Certification and Survey Providers Enhanced Reporting (CASPER)-0155 and American Proficiency Institute 2025 PT records (2nd and 3rd events), the laboratory director failed to ensure that the PT samples were tested as required under Subpart H during two consecutive testing events for White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential. (Refer to 2130).</p>