

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  21D2109713	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  01/06/2020
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Planned Parenthood Of Metropolitan Washington, Dc	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  5001 Silver Hill Rd #103, Suitland, MD	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D2009</b>	<p>TESTING OF PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES CFR(s): 493.801(b)(1)</p> <p>The individual testing or examining the samples and the laboratory director must attest to the routine integration of the samples into the patient workload using the laboratory's routine methods.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and interview, the laboratory (lab) director did not attest to the routine integration of the samples into the patient workload using the laboratory's routine methods. Findings: 1. To assess the accuracy of the Rh (D) testing performed on patient samples, the lab enrolled in a proficiency testing program through a proficiency test provider. The lab receives unknown samples for testing from the proficiency test provider, tests the samples and submits the results to the provider for evaluation. The proficiency test provider evaluates the accuracy of the test results and reports the score to the lab. The proficiency test provider sends the lab three shipments (events) in a one year period; 2. The lab director attests to the routine integration of the unknown samples by signing and dating a statement that accompany the unknown samples. The statement is a declaration that the director will ensure the unknown samples obtained by the proficiency test provider will be tested in the same manner as patient samples; 3. The director signature did not appear on attestation statements for the the third, second and first test events in 2019; and 4. This was confirmed during interview with lab staff in the afternoon on the day of the survey.</p>
<b>D5211</b>	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(a)</p> <p>The laboratory must review and evaluate the results obtained on proficiency testing performed as specified in subpart H of this part.</p>

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on record review and interview, the laboratory (lab) director did not sign and date the proficiency testing performance reviews showing that the director reviewed the results. Findings: 1. To assess the accuracy of the Rh (D) testing performed on patient samples, the lab enrolled in a proficiency testing program through a proficiency test provider. The lab receives unknown samples for testing from the proficiency test provider, tests the samples and submits the results to the provider for evaluation. The proficiency test provider evaluates the accuracy of the test results and reports the score to the lab. The proficiency test provider sends the lab three shipments (events) in a one year period; 2. The lab director signs performance reviews to show that the results were reviewed and if needed corrective actions documented for any failures; 3. The director signature did not appear on proficiency test performance review record for the second event of 2019 and the third event of 2018; and 4. This was confirmed during interview with lab staff in the afternoon on the day of the survey.