

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 21D2113855	(X3) Date Survey Completed 01/16/2025
Name of Provider or Supplier Sanjiv K Saini, Md Llc	Street Address, City, State 3168 Braverton Street, Suite 340, Edgewater, MD	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D5417	<p>TEST SYSTEMS, EQUIPMENT, INSTRUMENTS, REAGENT CFR(s): 493.1252(d)</p> <p>Reagents, solutions, culture media, control materials, calibration materials, and other supplies must not be used when they have exceeded their expiration date, have deteriorated, or are of substandard quality.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and interview, the laboratory did not document the open date for reagents used for MOHS surgery to ensure that they were not used past expiration. Findings: 1. The laboratory documented the staining reagents used for tissue from MOHS surgery on the MD Dermatology Reagent Log. The log did not include the date that the stains/reagents were opened for use and therefore it was not possible to determine when the stains/reagents were placed in use. 2. This finding was confirmed during interview on 1/16/25 at 10:00 am with the histotechnologist.</p>
D5787	<p>TEST RECORDS CFR(s): 493.1283(a)</p> <p>The laboratory must maintain an information or record system that includes the following: (a)(1) The positive identification of the specimen. (a)(2) The date and time of specimen receipt into the laboratory. (a)(3) The condition and disposition of specimens that do not meet the laboratory's criteria for specimen acceptability. (a)(4) The records and dates of all specimen testing, including the identity of the personnel who performed the test(s).</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and interview with staff, the laboratory did not ensure that the information recorded on the MOHS surgery patient log agreed with the information</p>

recorded on the patients MOHS surgery maps. Findings: 1. On 4/17/24 the number of stages for MOHS surgery was recorded as 2 on the patient log for Patient #1, but the MOHS map only showed that 1 stage was obtained during the surgery. 2. On 10/16/24 the date of MOHS surgery was recorded as 10/16/24 on the patient log for Patient #2, but the date of the procedure on the MOHS map was recorded as 11/21/24. 3. This was finding was confirmed with the histotechnician on 1/16/24 at 10:00 am.