

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  24D0406287	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  09/22/2021
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Lake Region Healthcare Barnesville	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  209 2nd Street Se, Barnesville, MN	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5215</b>	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(b)(2)</p> <p>The laboratory must verify the accuracy of any analyte, specialty or subspecialty assigned a proficiency testing score that does not reflect laboratory test performance (that is, when the proficiency testing program does not obtain the agreement required for scoring as specified in subpart I of this part, or the laboratory receives a zero score for nonparticipation, or late return or results).</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:  . Based on document review and interview with laboratory personnel, the laboratory failed to verify the accuracy of one 2020 non-graded proficiency testing (PT) results for a non-regulated analyte when the PT program did not obtain the agreement required for scoring. Findings are as follows: 1. The laboratory performed Chemistry testing as confirmed by Testing Personnel 1 during a tour of the laboratory at 12:05 p. m. on 09/22/21. 2. The laboratory performed PT using the American Proficiency Institute (API) provider. 3. One Urine Sediment result from the third 2020 Hematology /Coagulation PT event was not graded by API due to lack of consensus. See below. Sample ID Analyte US-06 Urine Sediment 4. The API report referred the laboratory to the expected result data summary for evaluation of the non-graded test result. The data summary for the above sample was not present in laboratory records. Evaluation of the non-graded result was not found in laboratory records. The laboratory was unable to provide an evaluation of the non-graded result upon request. 5. Requirements for the evaluation of non-graded PT results was not included in the Proficiency Testing procedure found in the Lab Procedure Manual. 6. In an interview at 2:45 p.m. on 09/22/21, the Technical Consultant confirmed the above finding. .</p>