

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  34D0238070	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  11/29/2022
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Atrium Wfb Obstetrics And Gynecology -	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  624 Quaker Lane Suite E, High Point, NC	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5211</b>	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(a)</p> <p>The laboratory must review and evaluate the results obtained on proficiency testing performed as specified in subpart H of this part.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of 2020, 2021, and 2022 API (American Proficiency Institute) proficiency testing records and interview with TP (testing personnel) #1 on 11/29/22, the laboratory failed to evaluate all ungraded and unacceptable proficiency testing results for 2 of 6 test events in 2021 and 2022. Findings: 1. 2021 Hematology 3rd event a. no evaluation of ungraded wet prep/KOH (potassium hydroxide) sample VKP-03; b. no evaluation of 0% for failure to participate for fern test. 2. 2022 Hematology 2nd event a. no evaluation of ungraded wet prep sample VA-02. During interview at approximately 12:25 p.m., TP #1 stated she was unaware they needed to evaluate the ungraded results.</p>
<b>D6054</b>	<p>TECHNICAL CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES CFR(s): 493.1413(b)(9)</p> <p>The technical consultant is responsible for evaluating and documenting the performance of individuals responsible for moderate complexity testing at least annually, after the first year.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of personnel records and interview with TP (testing personnel) #1 on 11/29/22, the laboratory director failed to perform and document competency evaluation for 1 of 1 TP (TP #1) during 2020 and 2021. Review of personnel records for TP #1 revealed that her competency was evaluated in 2022 by an off-site technical</p>

consultant. There were no records available to indicate that TP #1 had a competency evaluation in 2020 or 2021. During interview at approximately 10:00 a.m., TP #1 confirmed that she did not have a competency evaluation in 2020 or 2021.