

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  37D2157238	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  11/13/2020
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Southside Dermatology, PLLC	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  720 W 71st Street S, Tulsa, OK	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D0000</b>	The recertification survey was performed on 11/13/2020. The laboratory was found in compliance with standard-level deficiencies cited. The findings were reviewed with the laboratory director, clinical consultant #2, the histotechnologist, and the surgical assistant during an exit conference performed at the conclusion of the survey.
<b>D5217</b>	<p><b>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE</b> CFR(s): 493.1236(c)(1)</p> <p>At least twice annually, the laboratory must verify the accuracy of any test or procedure it performs that is not included in subpart I of this part.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a review of records and interview with the laboratory director and clinical consultant #2, the laboratory failed to verify the accuracy of slide interpretations at least twice annually. Findings include: (1) On 11/13/2020 at 10:00 am, the laboratory director and clinical consultant #2 stated to the surveyor, the laboratory began performing microscopic interpretations of H&amp;E (Hematoxylin and Eosin) stained slides from dermatologic biopsies and tissues removed during Mohs surgery on 12/18/2018; (2) On 11/13/2020 at 11:30 am, the laboratory director stated to the surveyor the accuracy of the microscopic interpretations was performed by sending cases (slides and maps) to a physician at a local reference laboratory for evaluation; (3) The surveyor reviewed records for 2019 and to date in 2020, and identified the accuracy of the slide interpretations had not been verified twice annually in 2019. The testing had not been verified for accuracy prior to 12/02/2019; (4) The surveyor reviewed the records with the laboratory director and clinical consultant #2. Both stated on 11/13/2020 at 11:45 am, the accuracy of the slide interpretations had not been verified twice in 2019.</p>