

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  39D0701535	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  09/08/2022
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  District Health Center 1	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  1930 South Broad Street, 2nd Floor, Unit #30, Philadelphia, PA	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5215</b>	<p><b>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE</b> CFR(s): 493.1236(b)(2)</p> <p>The laboratory must verify the accuracy of any analyte, specialty or subspecialty assigned a proficiency testing score that does not reflect laboratory test performance (that is, when the proficiency testing program does not obtain the agreement required for scoring as specified in subpart I of this part, or the laboratory receives a zero score for nonparticipation, or late return or results).</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of the American Proficiency Institute (API) proficiency testing (PT) records and interview with the Quality Assurance Officer (QA), the laboratory failed to verify the accuracy for 1 of 5 Gram Stain PT results in 2022. Findings Include: 1. On the day of survey, 09/08/2022 at 09:40 am, review of 2022 API proficiency testing records revealed that the laboratory did not verify the accuracy for the following analyte that was not graded by the proficiency testing agency: - API 2022 Microbiology- 1st Event: Gram Stain sample GS-05 was not graded due to non consensus. 2. The QA Officer confirmed the findings above on 09/08/2022 around 11:00 am.</p>
<b>D6046</b>	<p><b>TECHNICAL CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES</b> CFR(s): 493.1413(b)(8)</p> <p>(b) The technical consultant is responsible for-- (b)(8) Evaluating the competency of all testing personnel and assuring that the staff maintain their competency to perform test procedures and report test results promptly, accurately and proficiently.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:</p>

Based on review of the competency assessment (CA) records and interview with the Technical Consultant (TC) #1, the TC failed to assess 8 of 8 testing personnel (TP) for each assay in mycology and parasitology microscopic examinations performed in 2021 and 2022. Findings Include: 1. On the day of Survey, 09/08/2022 at 09:46 a.m., review of the competency assessment records revealed, the forms used to document competency did not separate the two microscopic examinations for Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) Mycology and Wet Mounts (Trichomonas) Parasitology for 8 of 8 TP in 2021 and 2022. 2. The TC confirmed the finding above on 09/08/2022 at 11:35 a.m.