

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 44D1036493	(X3) Date Survey Completed 05/25/2018
Name of Provider or Supplier Premier Pediatrics Of Memphis, Pllc	Street Address, City, State 1250 N Germantown Pkwy, Suite 115, Cordova, TN	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D2016	<p>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: The laboratory failed to maintain satisfactory participation for two out of three test events for the automated white blood cell (WBC) differential resulting in the first unsuccessful proficiency testing (PT) occurrence for the automated WBC differential.</p>
D2130	<p>HEMATOLOGY CFR(s): 493.851(f)</p> <p>Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.</p>

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a desk review of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services report 155 (CMS 155) and the laboratory's 2017 and 2018 proficiency testing records, the laboratory failed to maintain successful participation in two out of three test events for the automated white blood cell count (WBC) differential. The findings include: 1. Review of the CMS 155 report revealed the following unsatisfactory WBC automated differential scores: 2017 Event 2 WBC DIFF=0%; 2018 Event 1 WBC DIFF=33%. 2. Review of the laboratory's 2017 Event 2 comparative evaluation report revealed the following: Granulocyte %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-06, HEM-07, HEM-08, HEM-09, HEM-10; Lymphocyte %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-06, HEM-07, HEM-08, HEM-09, HEM-10; Monocytes/Mid %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-06, HEM-07, HEM-08, HEM-09, HEM-10. 3. Review of the laboratory's 2018 Event 1 comparative evaluation report revealed the following: Granulocyte %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-01, HEM-02, HEM-03, HEM-04, HEM-05; Lymphocyte %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-04, Monocytes/Mid %-scored as unacceptable for sample numbers HEM-02, HEM-03, HEM-04, HEM-05, resulting in the first unsuccessful proficiency testing occurrence for the WBC automated differential.