

Statement of Deficiencies	(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number 44D2138930	(X3) Date Survey Completed 11/30/2018
Name of Provider or Supplier Redmed Of Crosstown	Street Address, City, State 11611 Hwy 51 S, Atoka, TN	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D2016	<p>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: The laboratory failed to maintain satisfactory proficiency testing (PT) performance for the red blood cell (RBC) analyte for 2018 events two and three resulting in the first unsuccessful occurrence for the RBC analyte. (See D2130)</p>
D2130	<p>HEMATOLOGY CFR(s): 493.851(f)</p> <p>Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.</p>

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on a desk review of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Casper Report 155 (CMS 155), and the laboratory's 2018 events two and three proficiency testing (PT) reports, the laboratory failed to maintain satisfactory performance for the red blood cell (RBC) analyte for two consecutive events resulting in the first unsuccessful occurrence. The findings include: 1. Review of the CMS 155 report revealed the following unsatisfactory scores for the RBC analyte: 2018 event two = 60%, 2018 event three = 60%. 2. Review of the laboratory's 2018 event two PT report revealed sample numbers HD-8 and HD-10 had unacceptable grades for RBC, resulting in a score of 60%. 3. Review of the laboratory's 2018 event three PT report revealed sample numbers HD-11 and HD-13 had unacceptable grades for RBC, resulting in a score of 60%, and the first unsuccessful PT occurrence for the RBC analyte.