

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b> 45D0961590	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b> 02/24/2021
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b> Southwest Ctr For Reproductive Health,	<b>Street Address, City, State</b> 700 S Mesa Hills, El Paso, TX	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5217</b>	<p><b>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE</b> CFR(s): 493.1236(c)(1)</p> <p>At least twice annually, the laboratory must verify the accuracy of any test or procedure it performs that is not included in subpart I of this part.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of the laboratory's proficiency testing records, patient test records, and staff interview, it was revealed the laboratory failed to have documentation of twice annual accuracy assessment for sperm morphology. The findings included: 1. Review of the laboratory's proficiency testing records for 2019 and 2020 ( three events per year) found the laboratory did not participate in a proficiency testing program that included sperm morphology. 2. Documentation of another means to verify the accuracy of results for sperm morphology was requested but not provided. 3. Interview of Testing Person one listed on the CMS report 209 conducted on February 24, 2021 at 11:05 AM confirmed that the laboratory did not have a means of verifying results of the sperm morphology at least twice each year</p>
<b>D6046</b>	<p><b>TECHNICAL CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES</b> CFR(s): 493.1413(b)(8)</p> <p>(b) The technical consultant is responsible for-- (b)(8) Evaluating the competency of all testing personnel and assuring that the staff maintain their competency to perform test procedures and report test results promptly, accurately and proficiently.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of the competency evaluation form and interview, the technical consultant failed to ensure all components of the competency evaluation were included in the competency assessment and on the evaluation form. Findings follow.</p>

Review of the competency evaluation for testing personnel #1 for the 6-month competency evaluation did not include direct observation for a semen analysis. The competency evaluation form listed "8. Semen Analysis, 9. Semen Analysis for ART" but did not include the direct observation of the semen analysis test, including patient preparation, specimen handling, processing, testing, and intermediate worksheets showing sperm count calculations. Interview with testing personnel #1 on the CMS form 209, on February 24, 2021 at 11:10 AM in the office acknowledged they could modify the evaluation form to reflect that.