

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b> 46D0972534	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b> 11/28/2022
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b> Dermatology Center Of Salt Lake	<b>Street Address, City, State</b> 7396 S Union Park Ave #201, Midvale, UT	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5209</b>	<p><b>PERSONNEL COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT POLICIES</b> CFR(s): 493.1235</p> <p>As specified in the personnel requirements in subpart M, the laboratory must establish and follow written policies and procedures to assess employee and, if applicable, consultant competency.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a review of Quality Assurance (QA) and Competency Assessment records for the Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) test and an interview with the Office Manager, the laboratory failed to have a system that annually evaluates the competency for 3 of 3 testing personnel who performed KOH testing during 2021 and 2022. Findings include: 1. A review of QA and Competency Assessment records for the KOH test at approximately 10:00 AM on 11/28/22 revealed that the laboratory failed to have a system that annually evaluates the competency for 3 of 3 testing personnel who performed KOH testing during 2021 and 2022. 2. In an interview at approximately 10:00 AM on 11/28/22, the Office Manager confirmed that the laboratory failed to have a system that annually evaluates the competency for 3 of 3 testing personnel who performed KOH testing during 2021 and 2022.</p>
<b>D5221</b>	<p><b>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE</b> CFR(s): 493.1236(d)</p> <p>All proficiency testing evaluation and verification activities must be documented.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on a review of Proficiency Testing (PT) records for the Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) test and an interview with the Office Manager, the laboratory failed to have a system that twice annually evaluates the laboratory's proficiency in performing the</p>

KOH test for 2021 and 2022. Findings include: 1. A review of PT records for the KOH test at approximately 10:30 AM on 11/28/22 revealed that the laboratory failed to have a system that twice annually evaluates the laboratory's proficiency in performing the KOH test for 2021 and 2022. 2. In an interview at approximately 10:30 AM on 11/28/22, the Office Manager confirmed that the laboratory failed to have a system that twice annually evaluates the laboratory's proficiency in performing the KOH test for 2021 and 2022.