Statement of Deficiencies	**(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number**	**(X3) Date Survey Completed**
	49D2021947	07/22/2026
Name of Provider or Supplier	**Street Address, City, State**	
Murray E Joiner Jr, Md, And Associates	2726 Electric Road, Suite -#203, Roanoke, VA	

For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D0000	An announced CLIA recertification survey was conducted at the Murray E. Joiner, Jr. MD and Associates' laboratory on July 22, 2026 by the Virginia Department of Health's Office of Licensure and Certification. The laboratory was surveyed under 42 CFR part 493 CLIA Requirements. Specific deficiency cited is as follows:
D5203	SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION AND INTEGRITY CFR(s): 493.1232 The laboratory must establish and follow written policies and procedures that ensure positive identification and optimum integrity of a patient's specimen from the time of collection or receipt of the specimen through completion of testing and reporting of results. This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on laboratory tours, review of procedures, and interviews, the laboratory failed to follow their protocol for labeling patient samples with two unique identifiers and date of collection for six of six patient urine samples collected for toxicology analysis as observed during the routine recertification survey on July 22, 2026. Finding include: 1. During a tour of the laboratory on 7/22/26 at 10 AM, the inspector observed six patient urine samples on a table adjacent to the toxicology testing area with a surname (last name) hand written on the specimen cups. The inspector inquired regarding specimen labeling protocols. The collection personnel stated on 7/22/26 at 10 AM, "I write the last name on the cups once the patient hands it to me and later when I have time I put bar code label on the samples before putting them in the testing refrigerator." The inspector inquired what the protocol would be if two patients happened to have the same last name. The collector personnel stated, "I would remember if I had two people with same last name and then I would put a first initial on the cup." 2. Review of the laboratory procedure manual revealed a policy (title: "Specimen Collection, Handling, and Rejection") which outlined, "Samples collected should at minimum include patient name, medical record number, or a second

	identifier such as date of birth, and date of collection." 3. A subsequent tour of the laboratory specimen storage area on 7/22/26 at 1 PM, revealed that six of six samples placed in the refrigerator delegated for analysis by the laboratory's Abbott ImmTox 270 instrument did not have two unique identifiers nor a date of collection. The six samples were in individual specimen bags and each urine cup had one surname written on the label. 4. An interview with the primary testing personnel (TP) and technical consultant (TC) on 7/22/26 at 1:30 PM revealed that the TC was unaware that the observed labeling shortcut was taking place for specimen labeling. The TC stated at 1:30 PM, "I was unaware that this was happening and we need to correct this practice as soon as possible." 5. An exit interview with the primary TP and TC on 7/22 /26 at 3:30 PM confirmed the above findings.