

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  49D2108687	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  10/01/2018
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Hematology Oncology Care Of Northern Virginia, Pc	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  1900 Opitz Boulevard Suites E & F, Woodbridge, VA	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D0000</b>	An unannounced, off-site CLIA proficiency testing (PT) desk review was conducted for Hematology Oncology Care of Northern Virginia on October 1, 2018 by the Virginia Department of Health's Office of Licensure and Certification. The laboratory was surveyed under 42 CFR part 493 CLIA Regulations. Specific deficiencies cited are as follows:
<b>D2016</b>	<p><b>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION</b> CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: Based on an off-site desk review of the laboratory's 2017 and to date 2018 proficiency testing (PT) records, a total of five (5) events, and interview, the laboratory failed to</p>

attain a score of at least eighty (80) percent of acceptable responses for White Blood Cell Differential in two (2) of three (3) Hematology testing events resulting in unsuccessful PT performance. See 2130.

**D2127**

**HEMATOLOGY**  
CFR(s): 493.851(d)

Failure to return proficiency testing results to the proficiency testing program within the time frame specified by the program is unsatisfactory performance and results in a score of 0 for the testing event.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on an off-site desk review of the laboratory's proficiency testing (PT) records and interview, the laboratory failed to submit PT results within the specified time frame for Hematology Erythrocyte Count (RBC), Hematocrit (HCT), Hemoglobin (HGB), Leukocyte Count (WBC), Platelets (PLTs) and White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential in one (1) of five (5) Hematology testing events from 2017 and to date in 2018. Findings include: 1. Desk review of the laboratory's 2017 and to date 2018 American Proficiency Institute (API) PT records, a total of five (5) events, revealed RBC, HCT, HGB, WBC, PLTs and WBC Differential results of 0%-failure to participate for 2018 2nd Event resulting in an unsatisfactory PT performance. 2. In a telephone interview with the technical consultant on October 1, 2018 at 2:30 PM, the findings were confirmed for the PT event described above.

**D2130**

**HEMATOLOGY**  
CFR(s): 493.851(f)

Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on an off-site desk review of the laboratory's proficiency testing (PT) records and interview, the laboratory failed to attain a score of at least eighty (80) percent of acceptable responses for White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential in two (2) of three (3) Hematology testing events resulting in unsuccessful PT performance. Findings include: 1. Desk review of the laboratory's 2017 and to date 2018 American Proficiency Institute (API) PT records, a total of five (5) events, revealed WBC Differential scores of less than eighty percent (80%) for the following Hematology events: 2017 3rd event - Differential score of 67%, Granulocytes 0%; 2018 2nd event - Differential score of 0%, Granulocytes 0% (failure to participate); resulting in an unsuccessful PT performance. 2. In a telephone interview with the technical consultant on October 1, 2018 at 2:30 PM, the findings were confirmed for the PT testing events as outlined above.