Statement of Deficiencies	**(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number**	**(X3) Date Survey Completed**
	52D0392965	03/05/2025
Name of Provider or Supplier	**Street Address, City, State**	
Ssm Health Dean Medical Group - N High Point Rd	752 N High Point Rd, Madison, WI	

For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID Prefix Tag	Summary Statement of Deficiencies
D5805	TEST REPORT CFR(s): 493.1291(c) (c) The test report must indicate the following: (c)(1) For positive patient identification, either the patient's name and identification number, or a unique patient identifier and identification number. (c)(2) The name and address of the laboratory location where the test was performed. (c)(3) The test report date. (c)(4) The test performed. (c)(5) Specimen source, when appropriate. (c)(6) The test result and, if applicable, the units of measurement or interpretation, or both. (c)(7) Any information regarding the condition and disposition of specimens that do not meet the laboratory's criteria for acceptability. This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on surveyor review of laboratory records and results in the electronic medical record (EMR) and interview with the Laboratory Manager (Staff A), one of three reports reviewed for patients with Mohs procedures performed by Staff B that included more than one source did not identify the tissue source with the procedure note. Additionally, the sample identification noted in the Mohs log and the 'Mohs Pathology Report' maps did not correlate with the EMR report. Findings include: 1. Review of the Mohs log showed patient 1 had Mohs procedures performed on two sites on December 17, 2024. The log showed: Sample number / time received / source 1A / 9:05 AM / right superior lateral cheek 2A / 9:20 AM / right upper cutaneous lip 2. Review of the 'Mohs Pathology Report' maps showed the sample from the right superior lateral cheek was 1A and the sample from the right upper cutaneous lip was 2A. 3. Review of the EMR record for patient 1 on December 17, 2024, included the statement "Mohs surgery of 2 sites (right upper cutaneous lip and right superior lateral cheek) performed today". The EMR included separate sections, Procedure 1 and Procedure 2, with the details of each procedure. The Procedure 1 and Procedure 2 sections did not specify the tissue source. Review of two additional Mohs cases with multiple sites performed by Staff B in 2024 showed the tissue source was identified

	with each procedure description in the EMR, the identified sources were concordant with the information in the Mohs logbook. 4. Interview with Staff A on March 5, 2025, at 1:30 PM confirmed the tissue source was not identified with the procedure details in the EMR and confirmed the procedure descriptions were not consistent with the information in the Mohs log.