

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b> 52D0393832	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b> 09/30/2020
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b> Gundersen Boscobel Area Hospital And Clinics	<b>Street Address, City, State</b> 205 Parker St, Boscobel, WI	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D2016</b>	<p><b>SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION</b> CFR(s): 493.803(a)(b)(c)</p> <p>(a) Each laboratory performing nonwaived testing must successfully participate in a proficiency testing program approved by CMS, if applicable, as described in subpart I of this part for each specialty, subspecialty, and analyte or test in which the laboratory is certified under CLIA. (b) Except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, if a laboratory fails to participate successfully in proficiency testing for a given specialty, subspecialty, analyte or test, as defined in this section, or fails to take remedial action when an individual fails gynecologic cytology, CMS imposes sanctions, as specified in subpart R of this part. (c) If a laboratory fails to perform successfully in a CMS-approved proficiency testing program, for the initial unsuccessful performance, CMS may direct the laboratory to undertake training of its personnel or to obtain technical assistance, or both, rather than imposing alternative or principle sanctions except when one or more of the following conditions exists: (1) There is immediate jeopardy to patient health and safety. (2) The laboratory fails to provide CMS or a CMS agent with satisfactory evidence that it has taken steps to correct the problem identified by the unsuccessful proficiency testing performance. (3) The laboratory has a poor compliance history.</p> <p>This CONDITION is not met as evidenced by: Based on surveyor review of the federal Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Reports (CASPER) Proficiency Testing (PT) and American Proficiency Institute (API) Proficiency Testing (PT) records, the laboratory failed to successfully participate in PT for the White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential (Diff) analyte in the Specialty of Hematology for events 2019-3 and 2020-2. See D2130.</p>
<b>D2130</b>	<p><b>HEMATOLOGY</b> CFR(s): 493.851(f)</p>

Failure to achieve satisfactory performance for the same analyte in two consecutive events or two out of three consecutive testing events is unsuccessful performance.

This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by:

Based on surveyor review of the federal Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Reports (CASPER) Proficiency Testing (PT) and American Proficiency Institute (API) PT records, the laboratory failed to achieve satisfactory performance in PT for the White Blood Cell (WBC) Differential (Diff) analyte in the Specialty of Hematology for events 2019-3 and 2020-2. Findings include: 1. Review of PT records in the federal CASPER reporting system shows that the laboratory failed two out of three PT events for the WBC Diff analyte in the Specialty of Hematology: Event 2019-3, score 60% and Event 2020-2, score 0%. 2. Surveyor review of the API PT evaluation reports on September 30, 2020 confirmed the failed PT scores for the WBC Differential analyte. This results in a failure to achieve an overall testing event score of satisfactory performance for two out of three testing events which is unsuccessful PT performance.