

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  53D2176955	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  04/12/2022
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Sterling Urgent Care	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  2761 Commerical Way, Rock Springs, WY	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>
<b>D5211</b>	<p>EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY TESTING PERFORMANCE CFR(s): 493.1236(a)</p> <p>The laboratory must review and evaluate the results obtained on proficiency testing performed as specified in subpart H of this part.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on review of proficiency testing records, lack of documentation, and staff interview, the laboratory failed to review and evaluate proficiency testing results for 4 of 11 testing events from December 2020 through April 2022. The findings were: 1. Review of the American Proficiency Institute (API) proficiency testing (PT) report failed to include documentation the laboratory had evaluated test scores of less than 100% and the laboratory director had reviewed the results. The following concerns were identified: a. Review of the 2020 API hematology event 3 PT results showed the laboratory scored an 80% on the analytes of red blood cells, hematocrit, hemoglobin, and white blood cells. There was no documentation the laboratory had evaluated the proficiency testing results. b. Review of the 2021 API hematology event 1 PT results showed the laboratory scored an 80% on platelets. There was no documentation the laboratory had evaluated the proficiency testing result. c. Review of the 2021 API chemistry event 1 PT results showed no evidence the laboratory director had reviewed the results of the proficiency testing event. d. Review of the 2021 API routine chemistry event 3 PT results showed the laboratory scored an 80% on the analyte of free thyroxine. There was no documentation the laboratory had evaluated the proficiency testing result. 2. Interview with the laboratory manager on 4/12/22 at 9 AM confirmed the laboratory had failed to evaluate the reason for the 80% scores on the proficiency tests and no there was no documentation the laboratory director had reviewed the PT results for the 2021 API chemistry event 1.</p>